

## Mediating Role of Carbon Emissions Disclosure in the Relationship between Environmental Performance and Firm Value

Ni Kadek Piena Jayanthi Putri<sup>1</sup>

Made Gede Wirakusuma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Faculty of Economics and Business Universitas Udayana, Indonesia

\*Correspondences : [piena.jayanthi20@student.unud.ac.id](mailto:piena.jayanthi20@student.unud.ac.id)

### ABSTRACT

This research investigates the empirical relationship between environmental performance and firm value, with carbon emissions disclosure serving as a mediating variable. The study encompasses all companies listed on the IDX that participated in the PROPER program during 2021-2022. Using a non-probability sampling method, we obtained a sample of 150 companies. Data analysis was conducted using the causal step technique. The findings indicate that environmental performance positively impacts firm value and carbon emissions disclosure. Additionally, carbon emissions disclosure itself positively influences firm value and mediates the effect of environmental performance on firm value.

**Keywords:** Firm Value; Environmental Performance; Carbon Emissions Disclosure; Profitability; Firm Size

### *Pengungkapan Emisi Gas Rumah Kaca Memediasi Pengaruh Kinerja Lingkungan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan*

### ABSTRAK

*Tujuan penelitian adalah memperoleh bukti empiris pengungkapan emisi gas rumah kaca memediasi pengaruh kinerja lingkungan terhadap nilai perusahaan. Penelitian dilakukan pada seluruh perusahaan yang terdaftar di BEI dan mengikuti Program PROPER tahun 2021-2022. Metode penentuan sampel adalah nonprobability sampling dengan sampel perusahaan sejumlah 150. Teknik analisis data yang digunakan adalah uji kausal step. Hasil penelitian adalah kinerja lingkungan berpengaruh positif terhadap nilai perusahaan, kinerja lingkungan berpengaruh positif terhadap pengungkapan emisi gas rumah kaca, pengungkapan emisi gas rumah kaca berpengaruh positif terhadap nilai perusahaan, dan pengungkapan emisi gas rumah kaca mampu memediasi pengaruh kinerja lingkungan terhadap nilai perusahaan.*

**Kata Kunci:** Nilai Perusahaan; Kinerja Lingkungan; Pengungkapan Emisi Gas Rumah Kaca; Profitabilitas; Ukuran Perusahaan

**Artikel dapat diakses :** <https://ejournal1.unud.ac.id/index.php/Akuntansi/index>



e-ISSN 2302-8556

Vol. 35 No. 11  
Denpasar, 30 November 2025  
Hal. 2207-2216

**DOI:**  
10.24843/EJA.2025.v35.i11.p09

**PENGUTIPAN:**  
Putri, N. K. P. J., &  
Wirakusuma, M. G. (2025).  
Mediating Role of Carbon  
Emissions Disclosure in the  
Relationship between  
Environmental Performance  
and Firm Value.  
*E-Jurnal Akuntansi*,  
35(11), 2207-2216

**RIWAYAT ARTIKEL:**  
Artikel Masuk:  
3 Januari 2025  
Artikel Diterima:  
6 Maret 2025

## INTRODUCTION

Indonesia has experienced a significant annual increase in the number of investors across various asset classes, including the stock market, capital markets, and mutual funds. Investors often assess a company's firm value when making investment decisions. According to K. H. V. Sari & Budiasih (2022), a company's value reflects its current condition, with high values indicating favorable conditions and low values suggesting adverse conditions. Wirawati et al. (2020) propose that environmental factors can influence firm value. This is attributed to the enhancement of community legitimacy when a company prioritizes environmental concerns, societal standards, and normative practices (Anggraeni, 2015). Such prioritization is believed to increase firm value by garnering full stakeholder support.

Empirical studies on the impact of environmental performance on firm value have produced mixed results. Research by Fauzi (2022), Anqi & San (2022), and Nazwa & Fitri (2022) indicates a positive impact of environmental performance on firm value. In contrast, findings by Pramitha & Sudana (2021), Khansa & Prasetyo (2022), and M. K. Putri & Susanti (2023) suggest otherwise.

Studies by Lee & Cho (2021), Sari & Sulfitri (2023), and Giannarakis et al. (2017) reveal that environmental performance significantly and positively influences carbon emissions disclosure. To address the conflicting findings in empirical research, recent studies have included carbon emissions disclosure as a mediating variable between environmental performance and firm value. Research by Astuti et al. (2023), Han et al. (2023), and Kurnia et al. (2021) demonstrates that carbon emissions disclosure positively and significantly affects firm value. This study uniquely incorporates carbon emissions disclosure as a mediating variable.

To mitigate bias, this research controls for profitability and firm size, ensuring the dependent variable is not influenced by external factors impacting the independent variable. The inclusion of profitability as a control variable is based on the premise that investors are likely to value a firm higher if it earns substantial profits (Adnyani & Suaryana, 2020). Firm size is used as a control variable under the assumption that larger firms, with more total assets, are perceived positively by investors, leading to higher firm values. This is also based on the belief that larger companies typically have better asset management practices, which contribute to increased firm value (Adnyani & Suaryana, 2020).

According to stakeholder theory and legitimacy theory, businesses engage in environmental management initiatives to gain community support and favorable stakeholder opinions (Mardiana & Wuryani, 2019). Over time, investors recognize the value of these environmental efforts and are more likely to invest in the company, thereby increasing its worth. Notable studies, including those by Nazwa & Fitri (2022), Anqi & San (2022), and Rusmana & Purnaman (2020), have found that environmental performance positively affects firm value.

H<sub>1</sub>: Environmental performance positively affects firm value.

Businesses that are environmentally conscious and have a high PROPER rating are more likely to disclose information about their carbon emissions to demonstrate the effectiveness of their environmental initiatives (Selviana & Ratmono, 2019). A high PROPER rating encourages full disclosure, which is why companies with stellar ratings frequently report their carbon emissions.

Environmentally responsible businesses voluntarily disclose more carbon emissions (Lee & Cho, 2021). Previous research by Lee & Cho (2021), Sari & Sulfitri (2023), and Ika et al. (2024) has shown that environmental performance positively affects carbon emissions disclosure (GHG).

H<sub>2</sub>: Environmental performance positively affects carbon emissions disclosure (GHG).

Companies that disclose their carbon emissions demonstrate environmental responsibility, which is well-received by investors and helps assess the company's sustainability (Hardiyansah et al., 2021). When a company is transparent about its carbon emissions and is perceived as eco-conscious, its value increases. Conversely, if the company fails to do this, investors will view it positively and consider investing. Studies by Sari & Budiasih (2022), Astuti et al. (2023), and Hardiyansah et al. (2021) have shown that disclosing a company's carbon emissions increases its worth.

H<sub>3</sub>: Carbon emissions disclosure (GHG) positively affects firm value.

Legitimacy theory posits that a company's reputation improves when it takes environmental sustainability seriously. Trust in the company's ability to uphold social obligations enhances its legitimacy within the community. Environmentally conscious businesses will be transparent with stakeholders about their carbon emissions. Since investors prefer firms with fewer environmental liabilities and a positive image, this transparency is expected to improve the firm's value. Previous studies, such as those by Sari & Sulfitri (2023), Ika et al. (2024), and Giannarakis et al. (2017), have found that environmental performance positively affects carbon emissions disclosure. Additionally, research by Sari & Budiasih (2022), Rusmana & Purnaman (2020), and Astuti et al. (2023) indicates that carbon emissions disclosure positively impacts firm value.

H<sub>4</sub>: Carbon emissions disclosure (GHG) mediates the effect of environmental performance on firm value.

## RESEARCH METHOD

The research population consists of 1,592 firms listed on the IDX and included in the 2021–2022 PROPER program. The data is quantitative, sourced from secondary sources, and includes information such as the number of outstanding shares, assets, liabilities (both current and long-term), stock market price, net profit after taxes, GHG disclosure value, and PROPER rating. A total of 150 observation samples were collected using a nonprobability sampling method based on purposive sampling methodology.

The research variables include firm value (dependent variable), environmental performance (independent variable), carbon emission disclosure/GHG (mediating variable), and profitability and firm size (control variables).

In accordance with studies by Gabrielle & Toly (2019), Rusmana & Purnaman (2020), and Kurnia et al. (2021), the following indicator is used in the computation of company value: the Tobin's Q ratio.

$$\text{Tobin's Q} = \frac{MVE + \text{Book Value of Debt}}{\text{Total Assets}} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Where:

MVE = total shares outstanding x stock market price

*Book value of debt* = total of debt

Total assets = total of assets

Environmental performance is measured using the results of the PROPER rating, which is symbolized by five colors (gold, green, blue, red, and black) and has scores of 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1.

Carbon emissions disclosure is measured by GRI standards indicators, specifically GRI 305 as a checklist item consisting of 7 indicators of carbon emissions disclosure (GHG). The weighting formula for this index is:

$$\text{GHG} = \frac{\text{Total score of company's carbon emissions disclosure}}{\text{Total maximum score}} \times 100\% \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

The indicator to measure profitability is ROA with the formula:

The measurement of the company size variable is:

Company size =  $\ln(\text{Total Assets})$ .....(4)

The causal step test is used as a data analysis technique with the equation model:

Where:

$X_1$  = Environmental performance

$X_1$  ENVIRONMENT  
 $X_2$  = Profitability

$X_2$  Profitability  
 $X_3$  Company size

$x_3$  Company size  
 $M$   $\equiv$  Carbon emissions disclosure

M = Carbon credit  
Y = Firm value

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5$  ≡ Regression coefficient of variable

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5$  = Regressors  
 $\varepsilon$  = error

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 displays the descriptive statistical test findings, while Tables 2, 3, and 4 present the results obtained through the causal step test. Prior to conducting these tests, classic assumption tests were performed. These tests confirmed that the data met the assumptions of normality and showed no signs of multicollinearity, autocorrelation, or heteroscedasticity.

As shown in Table 1, the value of the company ranges between 0.530 and 2.830, with an average of 1.293 and a standard deviation of 0.576. Environmental performance has a value range of 2 to 5, an average of 3.360, and a standard deviation of 0.753. Carbon emission disclosures range from 0.140 to 1.000, with an average of 0.657 and a standard deviation of 0.243. Profitability varies from 0.005 to 0.180, with an average of 0.076 and a standard deviation of 0.422. Company size ranges from 26.790 to 33.660, with an average of 30.096 and a standard deviation of 1.551.

**Table 1 Descriptive Statistic Test**

| Variable                    | N   | Min.   | Max.   | Mean   | Std. Deviation |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Firm Value                  | 150 | 0.530  | 2.830  | 1.293  | 0.576          |
| Environmental Performance   | 150 | 2.000  | 5.000  | 3.360  | 0.753          |
| Carbon Emissions Disclosure | 150 | 0.140  | 1.000  | 0.657  | 0.243          |
| Profitability               | 150 | 0.005  | 0.180  | 0.076  | 0.422          |
| Company Size                | 150 | 26.790 | 33.660 | 30.096 | 1.551          |
| Valid N (listwise)          | 150 |        |        |        |                |

Source: Research Data, 2024

According to the coefficient of determination in Model I ( $R^2 = 0.359$ ), environmental performance, profitability, and business size explain 35.9% of the variance in firm value. Model II indicates that 27.5% of the variance in carbon emissions disclosure is explained by environmental performance ( $R^2 = 0.275$ ). In Model III, environmental performance, carbon emissions disclosure, profitability, and firm size collectively account for 38.5% of the variance in firm value ( $R^2 = 0.385$ ).

**Table 2 Analysis Causal Step Model I**

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |            | Standardized Coefficients |        | t      | Sig.. |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
|       | B                           | Std. Error | Beta                      |        |        |       |
| 1     | (Constant)                  | 1.651      | 0.558                     |        | 2.956  | 0.004 |
|       | Environmental Performance   | 0.163      | 0.040                     | 0.391  | 4.046  | 0.000 |
|       | Profitability               | 4,525      | 0,662                     | 0,458  | 6,832  | 0,000 |
|       | Company Size                | -0,079     | 0,020                     | -0,294 | -4,018 | 0,000 |

a. Constant: Firm Value

b.  $R^2: 0,359$

Source: Research Data, 2024

Referring to table 2, the model is:

$$Y = 1,651 + 0,163X_1 + 4,525X_2 - 0,079X_3 + \varepsilon_1$$

The significance level of environmental performance is 0.000, which is below the 0.05 threshold. This result supports the first hypothesis (H1) and is corroborated by the research of Nazwa & Fitri (2022), Wahidahwati & Ardini (2021), Anqi & San (2022), Indriastuti & Chariri (2021), Fauzi (2022), Gabrielle & Toly (2019), Rusmana & Purnaman (2020), Rismayanti & Putri (2021), Rahmanita (2020), Wirawati et al. (2020), and Suniantari & Yasa (2022). These findings lend credence to legitimacy theory and stakeholder theory, both of which underpin this research.

**Table 3 Analysis Causal Step Model II**

| Model |               | Unstandardized Coefficients |            | Standardized Coefficients |  | t     | Sig.. |
|-------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--|-------|-------|
|       |               | B                           | Std. Error | Beta                      |  |       |       |
| 1     | (Constant)    | 0.088                       | 0.078      |                           |  | 1.124 | 0.263 |
|       | Environmental | 0.169                       | 0.023      | 0.524                     |  | 7.493 | 0.000 |
|       | Performance   |                             |            |                           |  |       |       |

---

Source: Research Data, 2024

## References

Referring to table

$$0.88 + 0.169\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_2$$

## The significance

that the value is below the 0.05 threshold. As a result, the second hypothesis (H2) is accepted, supported by the research of Yanto et al. (2019), Prasetya & Yulianto (2018), Widianto & Sari (2020), Setiawan & Iswati (2019), Yanto et al. (2017), Listyaningsih & Natalina (2020), Giannarakis et al. (2017), Jannah & Narsa (2020), Lee & Cho (2021), Sari & Sulfitri (2023), Ika et al. (2024), Prafitri & Zulaikha (2016), Krisnawanto & Solikhah (2019), and Putri et al. (2020). Putri et al. (2020). These consistent conclusions affirm that legitimacy theory and stakeholder theory provide a solid theoretical foundation for this investigation.

**Table 4 Analysis Causal Step Model III**

| Model |                  | Unstandardized Coefficients |            | Standardized Coefficients |  | T      | Sig.. |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--|--------|-------|
|       |                  | B                           | Std. Error | Beta                      |  |        |       |
| 1     | (Constant)       | 1.880                       | 0.556      |                           |  | 3.380  | 0.001 |
|       | Environmental    | 0.114                       | 0.044      | 0.206                     |  | 2.569  | 0.011 |
|       | Performance      |                             |            |                           |  |        |       |
|       | Carbon Emissions | 0.344                       | 0.137      | 0.201                     |  | 2.505  | 0.013 |
|       | Disclosure       |                             |            |                           |  |        |       |
|       | Profitability    | 4.051                       | 0.678      | 0.410                     |  | 5.977  | 0.000 |
|       | Company Size     | -0.087                      | 0.020      | -0.0326                   |  | -4.458 | 0.000 |

---

a. Constant: Firm Value

b.  $R^2: 0,385$

Source: Research Data, 2024

Referring to table 4, the model is:

$$Y = 1.880 + 0.114X_1 + 0.344M + 4.051X_2 - 0.087X_3 + \varepsilon_3 \quad (8)$$

The significance level of environmental performance is 0.011, which is below the 0.05 threshold. This result supports the third hypothesis (H3), corroborated by research from Lee & Cho (2021), Han et al. (2023), Mardiana & Wuryani (2019), Sari & Budiasih (2022), Hardiyansah et al. (2021), Gabrielle & Toly (2019), Anggraeni (2015), Rahmanita (2020), Kurnia et al. (2021), Astuti et al. (2023), and Rusmana & Purnaman (2020). These findings affirm that legitimacy theory

and stakeholder theory provide a solid theoretical foundation for this investigation.

Table 2 shows a significance level of 0.000 for environmental performance, while Table 4 indicates a level of 0.011 when including the mediation variable. Both values are below 0.05, suggesting that environmental performance significantly influences firm value, indicating partial mediation. Consequently, we accept the fourth hypothesis (H4). These results further support legitimacy theory and stakeholder theory.

Legitimacy theory posits that corporations are more likely to report their carbon emissions if their environmental performance is above average. It also suggests that corporations can gain public legitimacy by disclosing their carbon emissions (Hardiyansah et al., 2021). Stakeholder theory views business initiatives aimed at improving environmental performance as a form of stakeholder responsibility. To gain a positive reputation among stakeholders, a corporation with good environmental performance will disclose its carbon emissions as fully as possible. Organizations that are transparent about their carbon emissions demonstrate genuine concern for the environment and take responsibility for their community and surroundings, enhancing their reputation. Consequently, increased investor interest will lead to a rise in the firm's value.

## CONCLUSION

The study's findings lead to the following conclusions: firm value is positively and significantly influenced by environmental performance; GHG disclosure is positively and significantly affected by environmental performance; firm value is positively and significantly impacted by carbon emissions disclosure; and carbon emissions disclosure mediates the relationship between environmental performance and firm value. Companies with strong environmental performance are more likely to be transparent about their carbon emissions. Achieving public legitimacy and building a positive organizational image are crucial for maintaining strong stakeholder interactions. Consequently, increased investor interest will lead to a rise in the firm's value. These findings support stakeholder theory and legitimacy theory. The study's limitations include the period studied and the exclusion of medium and lower-tier companies. Future research could extend the study period to 5-10 years to provide more diverse research variations. Additionally, including medium and lower-tier companies as research samples is recommended. Future researchers might also consider replacing the mediating variables or adding new variables to introduce novelty to their research.

## REFERENCES

Adnyani, I. G. N. Si., & Suaryana, I. G. N. A. (2020). The Effect of Company Sizes, Sales Growth, And ProfitabilityOn Firm Values. In *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research* (Issue 6). [www.ajhssr.com](http://www.ajhssr.com)

Anggraeni, D. Y. (2015). PENGUNGKAPAN EMISI GAS RUMAH KACA, KINERJA LINGKUNGAN, DAN NILAI PERUSAHAAN. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 12(2), 188-209. <https://doi.org/10.21002/jaki.2015.11>

Anqi, C., & San, O. T. (2022). Environmental Performance, Corporate Governance and Financial Performance of Chinese Heavy Polluted Industries. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 12(3), 460–469. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijep.13002>

Astuti, C. D., Yanti, H. B., & Itqoni, A. M. (2023). The effect of external assurance of sustainability report and carbon emissions disclosure on firm value. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2706(1).

Fauzi, T. H. (2022). The Effect of Environmental Performance on Firm Value with Mediating Role of Financial Performance in Manufacturing Companies in Indonesia. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 11(3), 256–265. <https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2022-0081>

Gabrielle, G., & Toly, A. A. (2019). The Effect Of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Disclosure And Environmental Performance On Firm Value: Indonesia Evidence. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*. <https://doi.org/10.24843/jiab.2019.v14.i01.p10>

Giannarakis, G., Konteos, G., Sariannidis, N., & Chaitidis, G. (2017). The relation between voluntary carbon disclosure and environmental performance: The case of S&P 500. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 59(6), 784–803. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-05-2016-0049>

Han, Y. G., Huang, H. W., Liu, W. P., & Hsu, Y. L. (2023). Firm-Value Effects of Carbon Emissions and Carbon Disclosures: Evidence from Taiwan. *Accounting Horizons*, 37(3), 171–191. <https://doi.org/10.2308/HORIZONS-18-164R>

Hardiyansah, M., Agustini, A. T., & Purnamawati, I. (2021). The Effect of Carbon Emission Disclosure on Firm Value: Environmental Performance and Industrial Type. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(1), 123–133. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no1.123>

Ika, S. R., Puisi, P. U. I. S. I., Vitaningsih, C. W., Ducati, D., & Widagdo, A. K. (2024). Carbon emission disclosure in the agriculture industry in Indonesia: The determinant factors. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1290(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1290/1/012033>

Indriastuti, M., & Chariri, A. (2021). Integrating Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure and Environmental Performance for Firm Value: An Indonesia Study. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 278, 435–445. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79725-6\\_43](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79725-6_43)

Jannah, A. N. K., & Narsa, I. M. (2020). Factors That Can Be Predictors of Carbon Emissions Disclosure. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 24(2), 70–84. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v24i2.699>

Khansa, S., & Prasetyo, A. B. (2022). PENGARUH EMISI GAS RUMAH KACA SEBAGAI INDIKATOR KINERJA LINGKUNGAN DAN PENGUNGKAPAN LINGKUNGAN TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAAN. *DIPONEGORO JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING*, 11(1), 1–13. <http://ejournals-s1.undip.ac.id/index.php/accounting>

Krisnawanto, K., & Solikhah, B. (2019). The Determinants of Carbon Emission Disclosure Moderated by Institutional Ownership ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 8(2), 135–142. <https://doi.org/10.15294/aaaj.v8i2.32347>

Kurnia, P., Emrinaldi Nur, D. P., & Putra, A. A. (2021). Carbon emission disclosure and firm value: A study of manufacturing firms in Indonesia and Australia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 11(2), 83-87. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijep.10730>

Lee, J. H., & Cho, J. H. (2021). Firm-value effects of carbon emissions and carbon disclosures—evidence from korea. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182212166>

Listyaningsih, E., & Natalina. (2020). Factors Influencing the Greenhouse Gas Emission Disclosure on Manufacturing Firms in Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 807(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/807/1/012005>

Mardiana, I. A., & Wuryani, E. (2019). Pengaruh kinerja lingkungan terhadap nilai perusahaan dengan profitabilitas sebagai variabel pemoderasi. *Jurnal Akuntansi Unesa*, 8(1), 1-8.

Nazwa, N., & Fitri, F. A. (2022). Can Carbon Emission Disclosure, Environmental Performance, and Corporate Social Responsibility Improve Firm Value in Indonesia? *2022 International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Applications (DASA)*, 1163-1167.

Prafitri, A., & Zulaikha. (2016). ANALISIS PENGUNGKAPAN EMISI GAS RUMAH KACA. In *Jurnal Akuntansi & Auditing* (Vol. 13, Issue 2).

Pramitha, I. A. Y., & Sudana, I. P. (2021). Pengungkapan Corporate Social Responsibility, Kinerja Lingkungan dan Nilai Perusahaan. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 31(3), 615. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2021.v31.i03.p08>

Prasetya, R. A., & Yulianto, A. (2018). Analysis of Factors Affecting the Disclosure of Corporate Carbon Emission In Indonesia. *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi*, 10(1), 71-81.

Putri, A. B., Budianto, J. T., & Esa, A. O. (2020). Determination of Carbon Emissions Disclosure of Non Service Company. *ATESTASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 3(1), 39-49.

Putri, M. K., & Susanti, E. (2023). Kinerja Lingkungan, Biaya Lingkungan dan Nilai Perusahaan dengan Profitabilitas sebagai Variabel Moderating. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 33(2), 541. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2023.v33.i02.p18>

Rahmanita, S. A. (2020). Pengaruh Carbon Emission Disclosure Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Kinerja Lingkungan Sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi. *Akuntansi: Jurnal Akuntansi Integratif*, 6(1), 54-70.

Rismayanti, I. A. W., & Putri, I. G. A. M. A. D. (2021). Leverage, Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kinerja Lingkungan dan Nilai Perusahaan: Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Indonesia. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 31(7), 1667. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2021.v31.i07.p05>

Rusmana, O., & Purnaman, S. M. N. (2020). Rusmana (Pengaruh Pengungkapan Emisi Karbon dan Kinerja Lingkungan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan). *Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi (JEBA)*, 22, 42-52.

Sari, K. H. V., & Budiasih, I. G. A. N. (2022). Carbon Emission Disclosure dan Nilai Perusahaan. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 32(1), 3535. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2022.v32.i01.p16>

Sari, N. M., & Sulfitri, V. (2023). The Effect of Media Exposure, Environmental Performance, and ISO 14001 Certification on Carbon Emission Disclosure.

*Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies* , 06(08 August 2023), 3662–3671. <https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v6-i8-13>

Selviana, S., & Ratmono, D. (2019). Pengaruh Kinerja Karbon, Karakteristik Perusahaan dan Kinerja Lingkungan Terhadap Pengungkapan Emisi Karbon. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 8(3).

Setiawan, P., & Iswati, S. (2019). Carbon Emissions Disclosure, Environmental Management System, and Environmental Performance: Evidence from the Plantation Industries in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Sustainability Accounting and Management*, 3(2), 215. <https://doi.org/10.28992/ijsam.v3i2.99>

Suniantari, I. G. A. P., & Yasa, G. W. (2022). Kinerja Lingkungan, Kepemilikan Manajerial dan Nilai Perusahaan. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 32(2), 3847. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2022.v32.i02.p19>

Wahidahwati, W., & Ardini, L. (2021). Corporate Governance and Environmental Performance: How They Affect Firm Value. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(2), 953–962. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no2.0953>

Widianto, I., & Sari, D. P. (2020). The effect of environmental performance, leverage and company size towards carbon emission disclosure on rated proper company in 2015–2018. *Journal of Accounting, Entrepreneurship, and Financial Technology*, 1(02), 97–118.

Wirawati, N. G. P., Dwija Putri, I. G. A. M. A., & Badera, I. D. N. (2020). Pengaruh Ukuran Perusahaan, Leverage, Pengungkapan Lingkungan, dan Kinerja Lingkungan pada Nilai Perusahaan. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 30(9), 2417. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2020.v30.i09.p19>

Yanto, H., Hasan, I., Fam, S.-F., & Raeni, R. (2017). Strengthening PROPER Implementation to Improve Transparency in Managing Carbon Emission among Indonesian Manufacturing Companies. *International Journal of Business & Management Science*, 7(2).

Yanto, H., Rofiah, A., & Bahlawan, Z. A. S. (2019). Environmental Performance and Carbon Emission Disclosures: A case of Indonesian Manufacturing Companies. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1387(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1387/1/012005>